Committee: HEALTH AND HOUSING COMMITTEE Agenda Item

Date: October 272005

Title: HOMELESS TEMPORARY

**ACCOMMODATION** 

Author: Elizabeth Petrie, Housing Management Manager Item for decision

01799 510362

Judith Snares, Senior Housing Officer

(Homelessness) 01799 510671

## Summary

This report advises the Committee of the Council's current position on the use of Council accommodation and designated units of Registered Social Landlord (RSL) accommodation as temporary accommodation for homeless applicants.

#### Recommendations

It is recommended that the Committee: -

- 1. Agrees to convert a limited number of units of temporary accommodation into permanent tenancies within the Council's own stock.
- Agrees to consult with the involved RSL to convert a number of their current temporary tenancies (Assured Shorthold Tenancies) to permanent Assured Tenancies.

### **Background Papers**

The following papers were referred to by the authors in the preparation of this report and are available for inspection from the authors of the report.

- 1. Homeless activity report
- 2. Internal records

#### **Impact**

Communication/Consultation	There will need to be communication/consultation with tenants in recommendation 1 and 2.
Community Safety	NONE
Equalities	NONE

Author: Elizabeth Petrie & Judith Snares

Version date: 10th October 2005

Finance	There could be some indirect savings to the Council as voids will be slightly reduced		
Human Rights	NONE		
Legal Implications	Council has a statutory duty to provide people accepted as homeless with permanent accommodation		
Ward-specific impacts	Across the district		
Workforce/Workplace	NONE		

### **Situation**

- 1. Currently the Council has 20 units of hard to let accommodation throughout the district being used as temporary accommodation for homeless applicants. These units are mainly elderly persons bungalows.
- 2. The Council also has the nomination rights to 9 Registered Social Landlord units which are used as temporary accommodation for homeless families. These are 2 or 3 bedroom houses in 3 village locations, Newport, Stansted and Takeley.
- 3. The hard to let bungalows are mainly in parishes where there are little or no facilities e.g. public transport/shops etc and consequently there is little or no demand for these properties from local elderly applicants who are in housing need.
- 4. By using these properties as temporary accommodation, the Council has been able to reduce the use and cost of accommodating families in Bed and Breakfast, instead providing them with more suitable self contained accommodation.
- 5. In each case where homeless applicants have been placed in temporary accommodation there is an expectation that they will in time be allocated permanent housing. However due to the high demand within the District for social housing in practice many people spend prolonged periods in temporary housing and during this time they become settled into the local community and eventually out of choice, if allowed, would remain in the accommodation on a permanent basis.
- 6. Of the 20 in hard to let bungalows used as temporary accommodation it is anticipated that 12 tenants would benefit from having a secure tenancy as they are settled in the community. Of the 9 in RSL temporary accommodation it is anticipated 7 would be interested in having permanent

Author: Elizabeth Petrie & Judith Snares Version date: 10<sup>th</sup> October 2005

tenancies. Further information on these properties will be available at the meeting. The remaining tenants are either in properties of a size that they would not normally be allocated on a permanent basis or for other reasons would not be recommended for this course of action.

### **Targets**

What we are trying to achieve is:

- Provide settled homes for people who are currently in temporary accommodation and prevent further social exclusion by moving them from communities where they have become settled.
- 8. Reduce the number of households in temporary accommodation in line with the Governments stated aim of reducing by 50% the number of households in temporary accommodation by 2010. As an indirect consequence there could be some savings to the Council as there will be a slight reduction in void turnover.
- 9. To meet the Government's new BVPI introduced in April 2005 which measures the % change in the average number of families placed in temporary accommodation.

# **Options**

- 10. To progress this issue we are proposing that further consultation takes place with those tenants currently in temporary tenancies in the identified hard to let properties to ascertain those who are "settled" and would, given the opportunity, wish to be offered permanent tenancies.
- 11. We also propose to consult with our partner RSL and their tenants with a view to asking them to convert some tenants currently on ASTs to Assured tenancies.

#### Pay-Offs/Penalties

- 12. If the recommendations are agreed it would provide settled housing for. Tenants currently facing the uncertainty of being on temporary tenancies thus allowing them to fully integrate into their local communities.
- 13. If no action were taken the existing arrangements would continue and because of the limited number of permanent vacancies generated in any one year we would be unable to achieve government targets.
- 14. There would be a continued need to use hard to let bungalows and possibly some RSL vacancies as future temporary accommodation whilst

Author: Elizabeth Petrie & Judith Snares

Version date: 10th October 2005

present allocation policies are in place or we would risk seeing an increase in the use of Bed and Breakfast accommodation.

# **Risk Analysis**

15.. The following have been assessed as the potential risks associated with this issue.

Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Mitigating Actions
Tenant might exercise the Right to Buy on bungalows. It is current policy to resist this where possible	MEDIUM	MEDIUM	Could result in further loss of stock, however a limited capital receipt would be achieved.
Increased use of bed and breakfast if future Properties not used as temporary accommodation	MEDIUM	HIGH	Impact on General Fund and possible loss of any further grant from the ODPM
Failure to meet Performance Indicator targets	HIGH	HIGH	Performance is considered and commented on by EMT on a monthly basis. Proposed Select Committee will focus on Corporate performance issues

Author: Elizabeth Petrie & Judith Snares Version date: 10<sup>th</sup> October 2005